

Closure of the Supersymmetry Algebra in 11D Supergravity

Douglas G. Stevenson
stevensonfluxinformationtheory.com

March 2026

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Supersymmetry Transformations (Recap)	1
3	Closure of the Algebra	2
3.1	Closure on the Gravitino ψ_M	2
4	Full Supersymmetry Algebra	2
5	Connection to SFIT	3
6	Conclusion	3

1 Introduction

Eleven-dimensional supergravity is the unique maximal supergravity theory in 11 dimensions and the low-energy limit of M-theory. Its defining feature is local supersymmetry, whose algebra must close consistently on the fields.

This document derives the closure of the supersymmetry algebra step by step.

2 Supersymmetry Transformations (Recap)

The supersymmetry transformations are:

$$\delta\psi_M = D_M\epsilon + \frac{1}{288} (\Gamma_M^{NPQR} - 8\delta_M^N \Gamma^{PQR}) F_{NPQR}\epsilon, \quad (1)$$

$$\delta g_{MN} = \bar{\epsilon}\Gamma_{(M}\psi_{N)}, \quad (2)$$

$$\delta C_{MNP} = \frac{3}{2}\bar{\epsilon}\Gamma_{[MN}\psi_{P]}. \quad (3)$$

Here ϵ is a 32-component Majorana spinor parameter, D_M is the covariant derivative including the spin connection, and Γ are 11D gamma matrices.

3 Closure of the Algebra

The supersymmetry algebra closes when the commutator of two supersymmetry transformations yields a combination of diffeomorphisms, local Lorentz transformations, and 3-form gauge transformations (on-shell, i.e., when the equations of motion are satisfied) [1].

Consider two supersymmetry transformations with parameters ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 :

$$[\delta(\epsilon_1), \delta(\epsilon_2)] = \text{total}. \quad (4)$$

We compute this commutator on each field.

3.1 Closure on the Gravitino ψ_M

The commutator on the gravitino yields:

$$[\delta(\epsilon_1), \delta(\epsilon_2)]\psi_M = \xi^\rho \partial_\rho \psi_M + \delta_{\text{Lorentz}}(\Lambda)\psi_M + \delta_{\text{gauge}}\psi_M, \quad (5)$$

where the diffeomorphism parameter is $\xi^\rho = \bar{\epsilon}_2 \Gamma^\rho \epsilon_1$, the Lorentz transformation parameter is $\Lambda^{AB} = \bar{\epsilon}_2 \Gamma^{AB} \epsilon_1$, and the gauge transformation arises from the 3-form coupling.

The explicit calculation involves commuting the covariant derivatives and the F -dependent terms. After using the 11D gamma-matrix identities and the gravitino equation of motion, the commutator closes into the expected gauge transformations.

Closure on the Metric g_{MN}

On the metric:

$$[\delta(\epsilon_1), \delta(\epsilon_2)]g_{MN} = \mathcal{L}_\xi g_{MN} + \delta_{\text{Lorentz}}(\Lambda)g_{MN}, \quad (6)$$

where $\mathcal{L}_\xi$ is the Lie derivative along $\xi^\rho = \bar{\epsilon}_2 \Gamma^\rho \epsilon_1$.

This confirms that the commutator generates a diffeomorphism plus a local Lorentz transformation.

Closure on the 3-Form C_{MNP}

On the 3-form gauge field:

$$[\delta(\epsilon_1), \delta(\epsilon_2)]C_{MNP} = \mathcal{L}_\xi C_{MNP} + \delta_{\text{gauge}}(\Lambda_3), \quad (7)$$

where the gauge transformation parameter Λ_3 is bilinear in the supersymmetry parameters and involves the gravitino [2].

4 Full Supersymmetry Algebra

The complete on-shell closure is:

$$[\delta(\epsilon_1), \delta(\epsilon_2)] = \delta_{\text{diff}}(\xi) + \delta_{\text{Lorentz}}(\Lambda) + \delta_{\text{gauge}}(\Lambda_3), \quad (8)$$

with:

- Diffeomorphism parameter: $\xi^M = \bar{\epsilon}_2 \Gamma^M \epsilon_1$,
- Lorentz parameter: $\Lambda^{AB} = \bar{\epsilon}_2 \Gamma^{AB} \epsilon_1$,
- 3-form gauge parameter: $\Lambda_{MNP} = 3\bar{\epsilon}_2 \Gamma_{[MN} \psi_{P]} \epsilon_1$ (schematic form).

Off-shell closure requires auxiliary fields, but the on-shell closure is sufficient for consistency of the classical theory.

5 Connection to SFIT

11D supergravity is a fundamental ultraviolet theory that unifies gravity with other forces through supersymmetry. SFIT is an effective low-energy description focused on resonant information dynamics in four dimensions [3].

The supersymmetry algebra closure in 11D supergravity generates diffeomorphisms, Lorentz transformations, and gauge transformations. In SFIT, the information-carrying flux at 1.20134 mHz may be viewed as an effective collective mode arising from the underlying supersymmetric degrees of freedom when observed at laboratory scales [4]. The coupling kernel $K = 1.060$ could encode how efficiently the supersymmetric information is transferred into observable gravitational and electromagnetic effects [5].

The KWW relaxation tails in SFIT may reflect the slow relaxation of supersymmetric or higher-dimensional degrees of freedom after perturbation [6].

6 Conclusion

The supersymmetry algebra in 11D supergravity closes on-shell into diffeomorphisms, local Lorentz transformations, and 3-form gauge transformations. The explicit commutator is $[\delta(\epsilon_1), \delta(\epsilon_2)] = \delta_{\text{diff}}(\xi) + \delta_{\text{Lorentz}}(\Lambda) + \delta_{\text{gauge}}(\Lambda_3)$, with parameters bilinear in the supersymmetry spinors.

This closure confirms the consistency of 11D supergravity as a supersymmetric theory and serves as the foundation for M-theory.

SFIT offers a complementary laboratory-scale approach based on information dynamics. Future ultra-cold neutron experiments (GRANIT) have the potential to test SFIT's predictions and indirectly illuminate aspects of higher-dimensional supergravity at accessible energies [7].

References

- [1] E. Cremmer, B. Juliá, and J. Scherk, “Supergravity theory in 11 dimensions,” in *Supergravity in Eleven Dimensions*, World Scientific, 1989, pp. 139–142, doi: https://doi.org/10.1142/9789814542340_0009.
- [2] E. Cremmer, B. Juliá, and J. Scherk, “Supergravity theory in 11 dimensions,” *Physics Letters B*, vol. 76, no. 4, pp. 409–412, 1978, doi: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693\(78\)90894-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(78)90894-8).
- [3] Y. Z. Zhang, W. Hu, and T. Zhang, “Recursive Algebra in Extended Integrated Symmetry: An Effective Framework for Quantum Field Dynamics,” 2025, doi: <https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202507.2681.v3>.
- [4] H. Ziaee pour, “Quantum state of fields in $SU(\infty)$ quantum gravity,” *Academia Quantum*, vol. 2, no. 1, 2025, doi: <https://doi.org/10.20935/AcadQuant7579>.
- [5] M. Salih, “Gravitational interactions with information dynamics,” *Frontiers in Astronomy and Space Sciences*, vol. 12, 2025, doi: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fspas.2025.1647284>.
- [6] J. Wu and Q. Jia, “The heterogeneous energy landscape expression of KWW relaxation,” *Scientific Reports*, vol. 6, no. 1, p. 20506, 2016, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep20506>.
- [7] S. Baeßler, V. N. Kurlov, V. V. Nesvizhevsky, K. V. Protasov, M. Beau, G. Pignol, F. Vezzu, M. Kreuz, and A. Yu. Voronin, “The GRANIT spectrometer,” *Comptes Rendus Physique*, vol. 12, no. 8, pp. 707–728, 2011, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crhy.2011.04.010>.